Supporting Document to Planning Committee Meeting dated 21st January 2019

Agenda item 5.

Additional request for information submitted by member of the public, received 17th January 2019 [sic] "Please could you send this to RDC as a clarification of the existing questions:

Thanks for your response but could you clarify further as the townsperson we are representing doesn't believe it quite gets to the heart of what was asked (some being lost in translation to the questions). That was mainly whether in future decisions, Keldholme could be seen as sustainable like Kirby Mills has and being so close to so many services. Also the "Keldholme is in Kirkbymoorside" phrasing is one that came from officers of RDC like Daniel Wheelwright around 2007-2009 in meetings at Ryedale House as a way of perhaps making it more in plain English. In particular in relation to site 132 could you look at the site sift/ssm and say why this site only was sifted out as not in accordance with SP1 ("in Keldholme"), when it was also a site chiefly outside of development limits (which was the point in submitting it as part of the submissions map for KMS and site 132). Particularly also bearing in mind that sites such as 56, 467, 10 and 5 also for residential use were not sifted for this and Keldholme arguably runs up to or includes the sportsfield and certainly coalesces with Kirby Mills already in one of the development limited areas. It would seem illogical and was pointed out near the time but officers failed to correct the mistake, which could be seen as a maladministration. It implies that Kirby Mills is part of the settlement hierarchy as sustainable and some but not all of Keldholme (when it is not known that you have split any other villages in the LDF).

If happening to look into site 132 fully as a further out site it would have to be noted that no coalescence issue would occur, and the access to the A170 is far superior to 56 or 467. With a bus stop to all the secondary schools being on site there as a pick up point for many surrounding areas and being quickly onto public footpaths or the A170 with more bus stops. It would also have to be noted that the far corner of Gladman is almost equidistant to this site 132 also, and further to Kirby Mills and the recreation grounds. In common it was in AHLV, but in a more visible position. This does not mean it would have succeeded but it keeps the status of Keldholme important as a relevant issue.'

[sic]